US008051024B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Bererton et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 8,051,024 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Nov. 1, 2011**

(54) **EXAMPLE-BASED CREATION AND TUNING OF MOTION RECOGNIZERS FOR MOTION-CONTROLLED APPLICATIONS**

(75) Inventors: **Curt Bererton**, Burlingame, CA (US); **Daniel Dobson**, Atherton, CA (US); **John Funge**, Sunnyvale, CA (US); **Charles Musick, Jr.**, Belmont, CA (US); **Stuart Reynolds**, Mountain View, CA (US); **Xiaoyuan Tu**, Sunnyvale, CA (US); **Ian Wright**, Sunnyvale, CA (US); **Wei Yen**, Seattle, WA (US)

(73) Assignee: **AiLive, Inc.**, Mountain View, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 72 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **12/712,192**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 25, 2010**

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 11/486,997, filed on Jul. 14, 2006, now Pat. No. 7,702,608.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

G06F 17/00	(2006.01)
G06F 19/00	(2011.01)
G06N 5/02	(2006.01)
A63F 9/24	(2006.01)
A63F 13/00	(2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **706/46**; 463/37

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 706/46

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,249,606	B1	6/2001	Kiraly et al.	
7,421,369	B2	9/2008	Clarkson	
7,519,223	B2	4/2009	Dehlin et al.	
7,580,572	B2	8/2009	Bang et al.	
7,702,608	B1	4/2010	Bererton et al.	
7,770,136	B2	8/2010	Beeck et al.	
7,774,155	B2	8/2010	Sato et al.	
2004/0030531	A1	2/2004	Miller et al.	
2004/0193413	A1 *	9/2004	Wilson et al.	704/243
2005/0215322	A1	9/2005	Himoto et al.	

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	1834680	A2	9/2007

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

E. Keogh and M. Pazzani, Derivative Dynamic Time Warping, in First SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, (Chicago, IL, 2001).

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Kakali Chaki
Assistant Examiner — Ben Rifkin
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Joe Zheng

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Techniques for tuning motion recognizers in motion detections are described. The motion recognizers are created or generated in advance by trained users. The motion recognizers are then loaded into a processing unit that receives motion signals from a handheld motion sensitive device being controlled by an end user. While motion recognition of the motion signals is being made, some or all of the motion recognizers are tuned by the motion signals to make the motion recognizers more adaptive to the user.

48 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets



Tuning a Classifier.

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2005/0219213	A1	10/2005	Cho et al.
2006/0071904	A1	4/2006	Cho et al.
2006/0279549	A1	12/2006	Zhang et al.
2006/0287084	A1	12/2006	Mao et al.
2009/0066641	A1	3/2009	Mahajan et al.
2009/0143141	A1	6/2009	Wells et al.
2009/0149257	A1	6/2009	Ferguson et al.
2009/0209343	A1	8/2009	Foxlin et al.
2009/0258703	A1	10/2009	Brunstetter
2009/0265671	A1	10/2009	Sachs et al.
2009/0273559	A1	11/2009	Rofougaran et al.
2009/0291759	A1	11/2009	Cox et al.
2010/0035688	A1	2/2010	Picunko
2010/0079447	A1	4/2010	Williams
2010/0088061	A1	4/2010	Horodezky et al.
2010/0117959	A1	5/2010	Hong et al.
2010/0171696	A1	7/2010	Wu
2010/0201616	A1	8/2010	Choi et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	2090346	A1	8/2009
GB	2423808		9/2006
JP	11253656		9/1999
WO	WO2006/090197		8/2006
WO	WO2006/128093		11/2006

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Lawrence R. Rabiner, A Tutorial on Hidden Markov Models and Selected Applications in Speech Recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE, 77 (2), p. 257-286, Feb. 1989.

"*Radar, Sonar, Navigation & Avionics Strapdown Inertial Navigation Technology, 2nd Edition*", by D. Titterton and J. Weston.

"*Design and Error Analysis of Accelerometer-Based Inertial Navigation Systems*", Chin-Woo Tan et al., Published in Jun. 2002 by the University of California at Berkeley for the State of California PATH Transit and Highway System.

R. Kjeldson and J. Kender, Towards the Use of Gesture in Traditional User Interfaces, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition) 1996.

D. Kwon and M. Gross, Combining Body Sensors and Visual Sensors for Motion Training, ACM SIGCHI ACE 2005.

Liqun Deng et al, "Automated Recognition of Sequential Patterns in Captured Motion Streams", WAIM 2010, LNCS 6184, pp. 250-261, 2010.

M. Roth, K. Tanaka, "Computer Vision for Interactive Computer Graphics", TR99-02 Jan. 1999, *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, May-Jun. 1998, pp. 42-53.

YK Jung, et al, "Gesture recognition based on motion inertial sensors for ubiquitous interactive game content", *IETE Technical review*, vol. 27, Issue 2, Mar.-Apr. 2010.

Zhang Xu et al, "Hand Gesture Recognition and Virtual Game Control Based on 3D Accelerometer and EMG Sensors", *IUI'09*, Feb. 8-11, 2009, Sanibel Island, Florida, USA.

Greg Welch, et al, "Motion Tracking: No Silver Bullet, but a Respectable Arsenal", Motion Tracking Survey, Nov./Dec. 2002.

Axel Mulder, et al, "Human movement tracking technology", Human Movement Tracking Technology. Technical Report, NSERC Hand Centered Studies of Human Movement project, available through anonymous ftp in fas.sfu.ca:/pub/cs/graphics/vmi/HMTT.pub.ps.Z. Burnaby, B.C., Canada: Simon Fraser University.

Sven Kratz, et al, "Gesture Recognition Using Motion Estimation on Mobile Phones" *Proc PERMID 07 3rd Intl Workshop on Pervasive Mobile Interaction Devices at Pervasive 2007.*

Chuck Blanchard, et al, "Reality Built for Two: A Virtual Reality Too I" VPL Research, Inc. 656 Bair Island Road, Suite 30 4, Redwood City, CA 9406 3, I3D '90 Proceedings of the 1990 symposium on Interactive 3D graphics, © 1990 table of contents ISBN:0-89791-351-5.

NamHo Kim. et al "Gesture Recognition Based on Neural Networks for Dance Game Contents", 2009 International Conference on New Trends in Information and Service Science.

Xiaoxu Zhou, et al "Real-time Facial Expression Recognition in the Interactive Game Based on Embedded Hidden Markov Model", Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Graphics, Imaging and Visualization (CGIV'04).

* cited by examiner



Overview.

FIG. 1



Classification.

FIG. 2



Generating a Classifier.

FIG. 3



Tuning a Classifier.

FIG. 4



Typical Setup.

FIG. 5



Tuning setup.

FIG. 6

EXAMPLE-BASED CREATION AND TUNING OF MOTION RECOGNIZERS FOR MOTION-CONTROLLED APPLICATIONS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 11/486,997, entitled "Generating Motion Recognizers for Arbitrary Motions", filed Jul. 14, 2006, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,702,608.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The invention relates to machine learning, especially in the context of generating motion recognizers from example motions; in some embodiments, a set of generated motion recognizers can be incorporated into end-user applications, with the effect that those applications are capable of recognizing motions.

2. Related Art

Writing program code to recognize whether a supplied motion is an example of one of an existing set of known motion classes, or motion types, can be difficult. This is because the representation of a motion can often be counter-intuitive. For example, if a motion is created with a device containing at least one accelerometer, relating the resulting data to an intuitive notion of the motion per-formed can be extremely difficult with known techniques. The problem is difficult because the same motion can be quite different when performed by different people, or even by the same person at different times. In addition the motion recording device might introduce measurement errors, or noise, that can make it harder to recognize a motion.

Handwriting recognition (HWR) is a special case of recognizing motions. What makes it a special case is that the set of motion classes is known in advance and all the motions are known ahead of time to be performed in a two-dimensional plane. For example, in English there are 26 lowercase letters of the alphabet that are written on a flat writing surface. Real world HWR recognition systems may include support for uppercase letters, punctuation, numerals and other gestures such as cut and paste. At least some machine learning approaches to HWR are known and widely used, but they do not solve the more general problem of generating motion recognizers in response to example motions.

At least some techniques for gesture recognition of limited symbols in computer games are also known. For example, various spell-casting games allow players to perform gestures that are recognized as invocations for particular spells. However, the set of gestures is fixed in advance by using a preprogrammed recognizer. Moreover, a movement is usually restricted to movement in a plane.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention provides a way for developers and users to generate motion recognizers from example motions, without substantial programming. The invention is not limited to recognizing a fixed set of well-known gestures, as developers and users can define their own particular motions. For example, developers and users could choose to give example motions for their own made-up alphabet that is unlike any known alphabet and the invention will generate a motion recognizer for that unique alphabet. The invention is also not limited to motions that occur substantially in a plane, or are substantially predefined in scope.

The invention allows a developer to generate motion recognizers by providing one or more example motions for each class of motions that must be recognized. Machine learning techniques are then used to automatically generate one or more motion recognizers from the example motions. Those motion recognizers can be incorporated into an end-user application, with the effect that when a user of the application supplies a motion, those motion recognizers will recognize the motion as an example of one of the known classes of motion. In the case that the motion is not an example of a known class of motion, those motion recognizers can collectively recognize that fact by responding that the motion is "unknown".

In another use of the invention, the ability to tune a motion recognizer can be incorporated into an end-user application. In this case, not just the application developers, but also any users of the end-user application can add their own new example motions. The recognizer can then be tuned to improve recognition rates for subsequent motions from those users.

In another use of the invention, the ability to generate or alter a motion recognizer can be incorporated into an end-user application. In this case, not just the application developers, but also any users of the end-user application can generate their own recognizers from any combination of existing motions, their own new motions, or both. When the generated motion recognizer includes elements of previous motion recognizers, or is responsive to existing motions, the newly generated motion recognizer can be thought of as an alteration or modification of the previously existing motion recognizers.

The ability for users of an application to tune or generate their own motion recognizers is an enabling technology for a wide class of applications that, while possibly previously imagined, were not feasible.

Although many potential applications of motion recognition are known, the invention is an enabling technology for a wide class of applications.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1** shows the different components of a preferred embodiment in relation to one another;

FIG. **2** shows a process of classifying a new motion;

FIG. **3** shows a process of generating a new classifier in response to a set of labeled examples;

FIG. **4** shows a process of tuning a classifier;

FIG. **5** shows a typical setup that a developer might use when developing a console game; and

FIG. **6** shows a setup for tuning a classifier.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Generality of the Description

This application should be read in the most general possible form. This includes, without limitation, the following:

References to specific structures or techniques include alternative and more general structures or techniques, especially when discussing aspects of the invention, or how the invention might be made or used.

References to "preferred" structures or techniques generally mean that the inventor(s) contemplate using those structures or techniques, and think they are best for the intended application. This does not exclude other structures or techniques for the invention, and does not mean that the preferred structures or techniques would necessarily be preferred in all circumstances.

References to first contemplated causes and effects for some implementations do not preclude other causes or effects that might occur in other implementations, even if completely contrary, where circumstances would indicate that the first contemplated causes and effects would not be as determinative of the structures or techniques to be selected for actual use.

References to first reasons for using particular structures or techniques do not preclude other reasons or other structures or techniques, even if completely contrary, where circumstances would indicate that the first reasons and structures or techniques are not as compelling. In general, the invention includes those other reasons or other structures or techniques, especially where circumstances indicate they would achieve the same effect or purpose as the first reasons or structures or techniques.

After reading this application, those skilled in the art would see the generality of this description.

DEFINITIONS

The general meaning of each of these following terms is intended to be illustrative and not in any way limiting.

Motion: The action or process of changing position. This includes intentional and meaningful motions, such as twisting ones wrist to simulate using a screwdriver, as well as unintentional motions, such as wobbling some people might exhibit when drunk.

Motion signal: A motion signal is information, such as time series data that describes some motion over a predefined time. The data can take many forms. For example, not intended to be limiting in any way, positions of an object over time, orientations of an object over time, accelerations experienced by an object over time, forces experienced by an object over time, data expressed in a frequency domain, data expressed in a parameterized domain such as R^3 or R^4, and the like. Motion signals are sometimes referred to as motions. As used herein, a motion signal might refer herein to a processed motion signal or a raw motion signal.

Processed motion signal: A processed motion signal is a motion signal that has been filtered or transformed in some way. For example, adaptively smoothing the signal or transforming the signal into a frequency domain using a Fourier or other transform. Processed motion signals are sometimes referred to herein as processed motions.

Raw motion signal: Is the unprocessed motion signal. Raw motion signals are sometimes referred to herein as motion signals.

Motion class: A motion class is a set of motions recognizable as distinct from other motion classes, such as a cluster of motions generally distinguishable from other such clusters. For example, not intended to be limiting in any way, there is a class of motions that correspond to waving. Any two waving motions could be quite different, but there is some group family resemblance that means they are both examples of the class of waving motions.

Unknown class: In any set of motion classes there is understood to be the class of "unknown" or "undetermined" motions. In these cases, the "unknown" class is used herein to refer to all motions that are not examples of one of the set of said known classes.

Motion label: A motion label includes a unique identifier for a motion class. For example, any motion that is deemed to be an example of the class of waving motions might be labeled "waving". Those skilled in the art would immediately recognize that some convenient synonym, such as an integer or enum in a programming language, could be used.

Labeled motion: A labeled motion includes a (raw or processed) motion signal that has been assigned a class label. During the training phase in which a classifier is generated, labels might be assigned by a human operator or other interface with domain knowledge of the motion signals. Labels can also be implicit in the sense that a set of motions grouped together in some way can sometimes be assumed to all examples of some motion. That is, they are implicitly labeled as positive examples of some motion that may or may not have some additional way of describing it.

Training set: A set of (raw or processed) motion signals used to generate a motion recognizer. There are a wide variety of possible forms a training set can take and many structures that a training set can have. For example, not intended to be limiting in any way, a collection of sets of motion classes, or a set of labeled motions, or a collection of unlabeled motions (implicitly assumed to be positive examples of some motion class).

Classification rate: A measure of motion recognizer performance responsive to a set of statistical measures, such as for example a number of false positives and false negatives.

Classification distance: If a set of motions is arranged in ascending order of distance to some particular motion, a classification distance for the particular motion is the distance to the first false positive in that set.

Classification: Includes assigning a class label to an unlabelled motion signal or prototype, including the possibility that the assigned class label might be "unknown", "undetermined", and the like. Classification might additionally assign probabilities, possibly in response to additional factors, that an unlabelled example is an example of each possible class, in which case the assigned label is the class with greatest likelihood.

Motion prototype: A motion prototype is a (raw or processed) motion signal that has been chosen to be a member of the set of representative motions for some class of motion signals. The number of prototypes that a motion recognizer or classifier can store is called the capacity of the motion recognizer or classifier.

Adaptive smoothing: Adaptive smoothing includes motion filtering techniques applied to a raw motion signal to generate a compressed representation, referred to herein as a processed motion signal. In a preferred embodiment, the raw motion is split into segments and each segment is represented by the average value of the signal in that segment. The length of the segment is determined adaptively according to the magnitude of the underlying raw motion signal. In some embodiments, the length of the segment is proportional the signal magnitude so that the higher the magnitude, the shorter the segment—higher magnitude signals intuitively indicate more information content and hence the need for a higher sampling rate.

Motion recognizer: software instructions capable of being interpreted by a computing device to recognize classes of motions.

Gesture: A meaningful or expressive change in the position of the body or a part of the body. For example, not intended to be limiting in any way, waving, drawing a letter of the alphabet, trying to lasso a horse. Gestures include motions, but not all motions are necessarily gestures.

Classifier: As used herein, this term generally refers to software instructions capable of being interpreted by a computing device to perform classification. A classifier might also function by assigning probabilities that the possible class instance is an example of each possible class. A classifier might also be allowed to determine that a possible class instance is, in fact, not an instance of any known class.

Tuning: As used herein, tuning a classifier involves providing additional labeled examples of pre-existing motion classes. The purpose of tuning is to improve recognition rates, for example, to reduce the number of false positives or false negatives.

Game developer: Anyone involved in the creation of a video game. As used herein, this might include, but is not necessarily limited to, a game programmer, an AI programmer, a producer, a level designer, a tester, a hired contractor, an artist, a hired motion actor, and the like.

Console: One or more devices used for playing a video game. For example, not intended to be limiting in any way, one of the following: Playstation, PlayStation 2, Playstation 3, XBox, XBox 360, GameCube, Wii, PSP, Dual Screen, PC, Mac, Game Boy, any other device, such as a cell phone, that can be used for playing games.

Console development kit (or "development kit"): A console development kit is a version of one or more game consoles used by game developers to develop their games, that is, either a version of a single game console or a version capable of emulating different game consoles. It is ostensibly the same as the final console that the game will run on, but typically has additional features to help game development, such as file input and output, hookup to an integrated development environment hosted on another computer, and the like.

Host PC (or host computer): During game development on consoles, it is customary to have a console development kit attached to a host PC. For example, the compiler might run on a PC running a version of Microsoft Windows to generate an executable. The executable then gets run on the console by transferring it across some connection, such as a USB cable, to the console. Output from the console then appears on a TV screen, with the option to have printed messages (for debugging purposes) sent back to the host PC for display.

Development time: The time during which the game is developed, that is, before it ships to end-users. However, development may even continue after shipping, with the effect that upgrades and bug fixes might be released as patches.

Game time: The time when the game is being run, that is, played by an end-user.

The scope and spirit of the invention is not limited to any of these definitions, or to specific examples mentioned therein, but is intended to include the most general concepts embodied by these and other terms.

Developer Setup

FIG. **5** shows a typical setup **500** that a developer uses when developing a console game.

The console development kit **502** is almost the same as the console that the game will run on when it is finally shipped, but may have some additional features to assist development. The term console and console development kit can therefore be largely used interchangeably. The controller **504** is connected to the console development kit **502** by a wired or wireless connection. The controller is moved around by a human **505** who may be the game developer, or someone hired by the developer. The console development kit **502** can communicate with a host computer **501** that is usually a standard PC. The console **502** is also attached to a display device, such as a TV screen **503**.

System Components

FIG. **1** shows different components of a preferred embodiment **100** in relation to one another.

lmMaker **102** is an application that runs on a host PC. lmRecorder **106** and lmCalibrator **107** are distributed as sample applications that can be compiled and run on the Nintendo Wii console development kit **105**. The run time library **109** will be compiled and linked in with all applications that use LiveMove on the console (i.e., the game **108**, lmCalibrator **107** and lmRecorder **106**).

To create motion examples **103**, the game developer runs lmRecorder **106**. Then, as the developer, or someone hired by the developer, performs motions with the controller, the motions are recorded and saved to a disk (or some other suitable media) as motion examples **103**.

lmRecorder **106** can also provide feedback on the motions generated to help the user of the motion input device obtain the examples being desired. Thus, only when a desired motion has been performed is it saved.

It shall be noted that lmRecorder **106** can alternatively be compiled into a developer's game **108** (or some other suitable application) as a library so that the collection of raw motions can be performed within the context of the game, if the developer so desires.

Another application called lmMaker runs on the host computer. The example motions **103** can be read in by lmMaker **102** running on the host PC **101** to create classifiers **104**. In particular, the developer uses lmMaker **102** to select motions and assign corresponding labels to the classifiers. In addition, lmMaker provides additional summary information on the motions. For example, which orientation the motion device was being held, etc.

Once the classifiers **104** have been generated, they can then be read straight back in to lmMaker **102** for immediate testing. This allows for a very fast prototyping to maximize game developer creativity.

The classifiers **104** can also be loaded by console applications, such as the game **108** or lmCalibrator **107**. On the console **105**, the classifiers **104** can be used by the LievMove library **109** to classify new motions. They can also be tuned to improve their performance, which will be further detailed below with reference to FIG. **4**.

Classifying New Motions

FIG. **2** shows a process **200** of classifying a new motion **202**.

The raw motion signal is possibly filtered **203**, for example, using adaptive smoothing, and then the time warp distance to the prototypes **204** stored in the classifier is computed. If no prototypes are within any prototype's classification distance **205**, then the motion **202** is labeled as unknown or undetermined **206**. If there is only one prototype for which the motion **202** is within the prototype's classification distance, then the motion **202** is labeled with the label associated with the said prototype. If there is more than one candidate prototype **207**, then the best prototype used to assign the label **210** is picked by majority vote, or is the one with the smallest distance **209**. The game can use the label determined by the classifier to drive an animation, change the game-state, etc,

Those skilled in the art would recognize that generated classifiers motion can be arranged in a hierarchy. For example, one set of classifiers may determine if a motion was a punch. Then, if additional information was required, a second set of classifiers could be called upon to determine if the punch was, say, an uppercut or a jab. This might be useful if there were circumstances in the game in which it was only necessary to determine the broad class of motion. In such cases, the additional work of determining more fine-grained information about the motion could be avoided.

Methods of Operation

FIG. **3** shows the process **300** of generating a new classifier **307** from a set of labeled examples **302**.

In particular, a human operator of lmMaker **303** selects which examples to use to build a classifier. If necessary, the

motion examples are smoothed and then the classification rates are calculated for each example to each other example **304**. The examples with the best classification rates are selected as the prototypes **305**. The selected prototypes are then used to create the classifiers **305** that are stored out to disk or some other persistent storage **307** for future use.

Those skilled in the art would recognize that it is straightforward to include the functionality of lmMaker in the run-time library. This would allow the game players to generate their own classifiers from scratch within the context of playing the game. The only challenge is, from a game design point of view, how to integrate the classifier generation process into the game. One implementation by the inventors would be in the context of a Simon Says game. One player performs some motions that are used as prototypes to generate a new classifier. And then another player tries to perform the same motion such that the said classifier successfully recognizes the said motion as an instance of the same motion type as the prototypes.

Setup for Tuning a Classifier

FIG. **6** shows the setup **600** for tuning a classifier.

The classifiers provided by the developer **603** are stored on disc, or can be downloaded over the network as downloadable content, and etc. These classifiers are then loaded by the game **606** that is running on the console **604**. The players then use the wireless controllers **602** to perform their versions of the predefined moves **601**. The runtime library **607** then uses the new example moves to tune the classifiers **603** to create versions tuned for individual users **605**. The tuned classifiers **605** can then be saved out to a memory card or some other convenient storage medium.

Process for Tuning a Classifier

FIG. **4** shows the process **400** of tuning a classifier.

The classifiers are initially loaded **402** by an application (e.g., a game). Next a human tunes the classifier by providing labeled examples **403** that represent his/her interpretation of the motions the classifier already knows how to classify. The human can continue to provide new examples until he/she is happy with the classification performance or the application decides enough tuning has been completed. The new examples provided by the human will typically be smoothed **404** before trying to classify it. If the classifier determines the new example is too far from any stored prototype **405**, it will simply reject the new example and the human will have to provide an alternative. If the prototype is acceptable and the classifier has enough capacity **406** to store the new example, then the example may be stored in the classifier as a new prototype **407**. The new classifier can then be saved out to a disk **408** or any other suitable storage media available locally or over the network.

Tuning could occur at development time to tweak an existing classifier. But at development time, the developer could just add the new motion prototypes to the previous set of prototypes and re-generate the classifier, as in FIG. **2**. So the intended use of modifying a classifier is by the player after the game has been shipped. In particular, players who have purchased the game can add some of their own motion prototypes to the classifier. The inventors have discovered that this ability significantly boosts subsequent classification rates.

More generally, there is a chain of distribution between the developer and the end-user, and it might be desirable for one or more people in that chain (including, say,) to make modifications. For example, not intended to be limiting in any way, these could include parents with a security code, a value-added reseller, a consultant hired to tailor the game to a particular end-user, a retailer tailoring the game to a particular type of customer (such as expert tennis players versus small children).

The invention also obviously allows for some motions to be locked out, or to be released by the player achieving some skill level in the game.

System Elements

Livemove

Nintendo will soon release a new games console called the Wii. One of the novel and interesting features of the Wii is the controller. In particular, the controller contains, among other things, accelerometers that can be used to record accelerations over time in three dimensions as a player moves the controller through space.

Game developers imagine many exciting new uses and games for the Wii and the associated controller. Many of those ideas revolve around being able to recognize which motions a player is performing. However, writing code to interpret the accelerometer data being relayed form the Wii controller is difficult. The problem is difficult because the same motion can be quite different when performed by different people, or even by the same person at different times. In addition the motion recording device might introduce measurement errors, or noise, that can make it harder to recognize a motion.

Game developers, using known techniques, have therefore struggled to bring their game ideas to market. The invention solves this problem by allowing game developers to create motion recognizers by simply providing examples of the motion to be recognized.

In a preferred embodiment, not intended to be limiting in any way, the invention is embodied in a commercially available product called LiveMove. LiveMove provides a video game with the ability to recognize any player's motions performed using the accelerometers in Nintendo's Wii remote controllers.

Livemove Components

libConsoleLM run-time library: Is a run-time library that is designed to be linked into the developer's game. Those skilled in the art would immediately recognize this as standard practice for using third party libraries.

libConsoleLM header files: Define the LiveMove API that the developer can use to insert calls to the libConsoleLM run-time library into their game source code. Those skilled in the art would immediately recognize this as standard practice for using third party libraries.

lmRecorder application: Is an application that runs on the Wii development kit that records data from the Wii controllers onto the hard drive of a standard PC (the host PC) that is connected to the development kit. Those skilled in the art would immediately recognize this as a standard approach to saving out data created on the Wii development kit.

lmMaker (Live Move classifier maker) application: Is an application that runs on a standard PC (the host PC) which is used to create motion prototypes and motion classifiers.

One embodiment of the invention includes the LiveMove run-time library called libConsoleLM, a classifier generation application called lmMaker (Live Move classifier maker) and a motion recorder application called lmRecorder. To use the invention, game developers will insert calls to the libConsoleLM run-time library API into their own code. Then the developer will compile and link the libConsoleLM with their game code (and any additional libraries they happen to be using). In contrast, a developer will only use lmMaker and lmRecorder at development time.

Methods of Operation

The steps that a game developer might typically follow to use LiveMove are listed below. In practice, any given set of

developers may choose to skip some of the steps, repeat a step until some criteria are met, iterate over some subset of steps until some criteria are met, or perform some steps in a different order.

Motion Design Step: As part of the game design process, a game developer will typically decide upon a set of motions that they want the player to be able to perform in the game.

Motion Creation Step: Using lmRecorder, the Wii development kit and the controller, a game developer records a set of example raw motions for each motion that they want the player to be able to perform in the game. Recording the motions simply involves using the controller to perform a motion and choosing which motions to save on the host PC disk. The recorded motion signal is simply a sequence of numbers that represent the X, Y, Z accelerations of the Wii controller, that has an associated label to specify which motion it is an example of.

Processed Motion Creation Step: Processed motions are created by adaptively smoothing the raw motions. They are simply a compressed version of the raw motions that are convenient, easier and faster to work with. The processed motion can optionally contain the raw motion from which it was created. Raw and processed motions will sometimes be referred to simply as motions.

Motion Classifier Creation Step: Using lmMaker a game developer will select which set of labeled example motions to use to create a classifier. The set of selected examples is sometimes referred to as a training set. Once a classifier is created it is saved onto the disk of the host PC.

To generate a classifier each example motion is examined in turn. To each of these motions, the time warped distance is computed to each of the other motions. Where the time warped distance used is roughly the same as the one described in 1.

As each motion is examined in turn, if it is within some pre-specified distance of another motion, then it is classified as an instance of that other motion. For each motion, we therefore end up with a classification of all the other motions. By comparing the assigned classification with the actual class label, the classification rate can be determined, where the classification rate is a measure of the number of false positives versus the number of false negatives. All the motions can thus be ranked according to their respective classification rates. The top n classifiers are chosen to be prototypes for the class, where n is an integer number, e.g., 1, 2, 3, 4 . . . .

The generation of classifiers has a number of tunable parameters, such as the classification rate, that must be set in advance. Currently, the inventors have assigned these values, but those skilled in the art would quickly realize that expert users could easily be given access to these settings so that they can experiment for themselves.

libConsoleLM Incorporation Step: A game developer will insert the required API calls into their code by including the libConsoleLM header files and making calls to the functions contained therein, and link in the libConsoleLM run-time library. Those skilled in the art would immediately recognize this as standard practice for using third party libraries.

Game Shipping Step: As part of the usual process of shipping a game, a developer will store a compiled version of the game source code onto some media so that they accessible to the game during game play. Not intended to be limiting in any way, examples include saving the classifiers on DVD, memory cards, or servers accessible over some network.

The game will incorporate the libConsoleLM run-time library. The created classifier will also be distributed along with the game. From the developer's point of view, the classifier is one of the game's assets. Other more commonplace assets include sound files, texture maps, 3D models, etc. Those skilled in the art would immediately recognize this as standard practice for shipping games that depend on various assets.

Game Playing Step: When the player starts playing the game that they have purchased or otherwise acquired the game will execute the sequence of steps it has been programmed to execute in response to the player's actions. When the player starts the game, or reaches some otherwise convenient point in the game (such as a new level), the game will load in one of the previously generated classifiers.

As the player plays the game and performs motions with the Wii controller, the game supplies the motions to the libConsoleLM runtime library through the preprogrammed calls to the libConsoleLM runtime library. The libConsoleLM runtime library is also called by the game code to ask which motion the player has performed and the libConsoleLM run-time library will return, in real-time or close to real-time, a label indicating which motion, if any, the player's input data corresponds to. To make the determination the libConsoleLM run-time library uses its own internal logic and one of the classifiers it has access to.

In particular, time warping is used to compare the distance between the supplied motion and one of the stored prototypes. If a prototype is within its classification distance to the supplied motion, then that prototype is used to determine which class the supplied motion belongs to. Conflicts are typically resolved by majority vote, or some measure based upon the distance. If the supplied motion is not within the classification distance of any prototype, the supplied motion's class is said to be undetermined. That is, the supplied motion is deemed to not be an example of any known class.

The invention extends the known techniques described above by inventing an incremental version. In particular, the incremental version can return the most likely classification before it has seen the entire motion signal. When only a small amount of the signal has been seen there maybe several likely candidates, but the inventors have discovered that it is often the case that, well before the end of the motion signal, there is only one likely remaining candidate. This is an important enabling invention for games where the latency in known approaches could result in annoying pauses.

In the preferred embodiment, there is a recommended tuning step a new player can perform before beginning to play the game in earnest. It is also recommended that the player repeat the tuning step whenever the recognition rates decline. For example, because the player is performing motions differently due to practice, tiredness, etc.

Whether the tuning step is undertaken is ultimately in the control of the game developer and the player. But the inventors have discovered that recognition rates are significantly boosted if a classifier can be modified to include prototypes from the player whose motions are to be recognized.

It is up to the game developer as to how they incorporate the tuning step into their game. The only constraint is that the classifier be provided with new labeled examples of known motion classes. A simple example of how the tuning step might be performed is to have the player follow instructions to perform a predetermined set of motions. That way the classifier knows to which class of motions the supplied motion is mean to belong.

Of course, all motion signals are again adaptively smoothed in order to compress them and make them easier to compare and manage.

If the candidate tuning example is too dissimilar from the known prototypes, it will typically be rejected and the player is expected to modify their behavior to more accurately per-

form the desired motion. In this way, the player is disallowed from generating de facto new recognizers. In particular, the ability to allow players to generate their own recognizers is only available for an additional licensing fee.

If the candidate tuning example is deemed suitable, it will be used to augment or replace one of the classifier's existing set of prototypes. Augmentation is preferable, but if the classifier has reached its capacity, for example, due to memory constraints, one of the existing prototypes must be discarded.

Additional details and advice on using LiveMove can be found in the incorporated disclosure, the LiveMove manual.

Generality of the Invention

This invention should be read in the most general possible form. This includes, without limitation, the following possibilities included within the scope of, or enabled by, the invention.

In one set of embodiments, extensions of the invention might allow players to generate their own motion recognizers from scratch. This might be performed by re-compiling the libConsoleLM runtime library to incorporate the code used in lmMaker to generate classifiers.

In one set of embodiments, extensions of the invention might enable a completely new class of games. For example, a team-based Simon Says game, that is, a synchronized motions game in which a team of players competes against another team of players, each with a controller in hand. The prototype motion is the captured data of all of the first teams' motion data over time. The opposing team has to mimic the motion. The contest would be like a sporting event: the synchronized motion Olympics.

The invention might be used to help people who are severely disabled but still have gross-motor control (but not fine-control). In particular, they could then type via the motion recognition interface. The ability to define your own motions means that they can settle on motions that are easy and comfortable for them to perform.

After reading this application, those skilled in the art would see the generality of this application. The present invention has been described in sufficient detail with a certain degree of particularity. It is understood to those skilled in the art that the present disclosure of embodiments has been made by way of examples only and that numerous changes in the arrangement and combination of parts may be resorted without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as claimed. While the embodiments discussed herein may appear to include some limitations as to the presentation of the information units, in terms of the format and arrangement, the invention has applicability well beyond such embodiment, which can be appreciated by those skilled in the art. Accordingly, the scope of the present invention is defined by the appended claims rather than the foregoing description of embodiments.

TECHNICAL APPENDIX

This application includes the following technical appendix. This document forms a part of this disclosure, and is hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

The LiveMove user manual. The user manual is written for game developers who want to use LiveMove in their game. Among other things, it explains how to use the development tools to generate motion classifiers and describes the libConsoleLM run-time library API.

REFERENCES

This application includes the following references. Each of these documents forms a part of this disclosure, and is hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1 E. Keogh and M. Pazzani, Derivative Dynamic Time Warping, in First SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, (Chicago, Ill., 2001).

2 Lawrence R. Rabiner, A Tutorial on Hidden Markov Models and Selected Applications in Speech Recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE, 77 (2), p. 257-286, February 1989.

We claim:

1. A method for using motion recognizers, the method comprising:

loading a set of the motion recognizers that are created in advance by at least one trained user;

receiving motion signals from a hand held motion-sensitive device, where an end user is manipulating the hand held motion-sensitive device in response to a virtual environment being displayed on a display screen;

forming a training set including some or all of the motion signals; updating one or more of the motion recognizers in the set of motion recognizers with the training set to modify a motion recognition behavior of the one or more motion recognizers; and

using the set of motion recognizers to provide motion recognition signals that one or more of objects in the virtual environment are responsive to.

2. The method as recited in claim **1**, wherein the set of the motion recognizers is created by operations including:

causing the trained user to perform motions according to a set of predefined moves;

recording some or all of the motions when the some or all of the motions are qualified in a sense that the some or all of the motions are substantially consistent with desired motions; and

generating each of the motion recognizers by determining corresponding prototypes with best classification rates.

3. The method as recited in claim **2**, wherein the motions are made in context of a scene.

4. The method as recited in claim **3**, wherein there is a module configured to select the motions and assign corresponding labels thereto, the module provides additional summary information on the motions as to how the hand held motion-sensitive device is supposed to be held.

5. The method as recited in claim **2**, wherein the operations further comprise:

calculating a classification distance of each of the motions to prototypes in the motion recognizers;

labeling the each of the motions as undetermined if the classification distance matches none of the prototypes; or

labeling the each of the motions as a labeled motion associated with one of the prototypes if the classification distance matches only one prototype; or

labeling the each of the motions as a labeled motion associated with one of some of the prototypes if the classification distance matches some of the prototypes, where the one of some of the prototypes is determined by a smallest classification distance.

6. The method as recited in claim **5**, wherein all labeled motions are organized automatically in hierarchy, capacity for each of the motion recognizers and substantially all other information needed to perform classification are created automatically from the motions.

7. The method as recited in claim **1**, wherein said forming of the training set including some or all of the motion signals comprises:

receiving the motion signals wirelessly from the hand held motion-sensitive device;

preprocessing the motion signals by a filtering means; and

segmenting the motion signals adaptively according to corresponding magnitudes of the underlying motion signals.

8. The method as recited in claim **1**, wherein said loading of the set of the motion recognizers comprises:

receiving the motion recognizers in a portable storage medium; downloading the motion recognizers via a network; or

receiving the motion recognizers embedded in a video game.

9. The method as recited in claim **8**, wherein said updating one or more of the motion recognizers in the set of motion recognizers with the training set comprises:

calculating classification rates for the motion signals; and

modifying each of the motion recognizers by determining which of the motion signals to add as additional prototypes.

10. The method as recited in claim **8**, wherein said updating of one or more of the motion recognizers in the set of motion recognizers with the training set comprises:

calculating a classification distance of each of the motion signals to prototypes stored in the motion recognizers;

labeling the each of the motion signals as unknown or undetermined, if none of the prototypes are within the classification distance; or

labeling the each of the motion signals with a label associated with an identified prototype, if there is only one prototype is within the classification distance; or

assigning the each of the motion signals with a label associated with a prototype that is determined by majority vote, if there is more than one of the prototypes within the classification distance; and

adding one or more of the motion signals as prototypes to the one or more of the motion recognizers.

11. The method as recited in claim **1**, wherein new motion recognizers are generated within context of a video game played by the end user.

12. The method as recited in claim **11**, wherein the training set is augmented by providing more motion signals till motion recognition with the new motion recognizers reaches a level satisfied by the end user.

13. A system for using motion recognizers, the system comprising: a processing unit;

at least one hand held motion-sensitive device generating motion signals when being manipulated by an end user, the motion signals being transmitted to the processing unit,

wherein the processing unit includes a set of the motion recognizers that are created in advance by at least one trained user and a module configured to perform operations of:

forming a training set including some or all of the motion signals;

tuning one or more of the motion recognizers in the set of motion recognizers based on the training set; and

using the set of motion recognizers to provide motion recognition signals that one or more objects in a virtual environment are responsive to.

14. The system as recited in claim **13**, wherein the set of the motion recognizers is created by operations including:

causing the trained user to perform motions according to a set of predefined moves;

recording some or all of the motions when the some or all of the motions are qualified in a sense that the some or all of the motions are substantially consistent with desired motions; and

generating each of the motion recognizers by determining corresponding prototypes with best classification rates.

15. The system as recited in claim **14**, wherein the motions are made in context of a scene.

16. The system as recited in claim **15**, wherein the module is further configured to select the motions and assign corresponding labels thereto, the module provides additional summary information on the motions as to how the hand held motion-sensitive device is supposed to be held.

17. The system as recited in claim **14**, wherein the operations further comprise:

calculating a classification distance of each of the motions to prototypes in the motion recognizers;

labeling the each of the motions as undetermined if the classification distance matches none of the prototypes;

labeling the each of the motions as a labeled motion associated with one of the prototypes if the classification distance matches only one prototype; or

labeling the each of the motions as a labeled motion associated with one of some of the prototypes if the classification distance matches some of the prototypes, where the one of some of the prototypes is determined by a smallest classification distance; and

adding one or more of the motion signals as prototypes to the one or more of the motion recognizers.

18. The system as recited in claim **17**, wherein all labeled motions are organized automatically in hierarchy, capacity for the new motion recognizers and substantially all other information needed to perform classification are created automatically from the training set.

19. The system as recited in claim **13**, wherein the training set is formed by operations comprising:

receiving the motion signals wirelessly from the hand-held motion-sensitive device;

preprocessing the motion signals by a filtering means; and

segmenting the motion signals adaptively according to corresponding magnitudes of the underlying motion signals.

20. The system as recited in claim **13**, wherein the set of the motion recognizers is received in a portable storage medium, downloaded from the Internet; or embedded in a video game.

21. The system as recited in claim **20**, wherein said tuning one or more of the motion recognizers in the set of motion recognizers based on the training set comprises:

calculating classification rates for the motion signals; and

generating each of the new motion recognizers by determining corresponding prototypes with best vales of the classification rates.

22. The system as recited in claim **20**, wherein tuning one or more of the motion recognizers in the set of motion recognizers based on the training set comprises:

calculating a classification distance of each of the motion signals to prototypes stored in the motion recognizers;

labeling the each of the motion signals as unknown or undetermined, if none of the prototypes are within the classification distance; or

labeling the each of the motion signals with a label associated with an identified prototype, if there is only one prototype is within the classification distance; or

assigning the each of the motion signals with a label associated with a prototype that is determined by majority vote, if there is more than one of the prototypes within the classification distance.

23. The system as recited in claim **13**, wherein new motion recognizers are generated within context of a video game played by the end user.

24. The system as recited in claim 23, wherein the training set is augmented by providing more motion signals till motion recognition with the new motion recognizers reaches a level satisfied by the end user.

25. A system for using motion recognizers, the system comprising:

a processing unit;

at least one hand-held motion sensing device sending motion signals to the processing unit in order to control one or more objects in a virtual environment by an end user;

at least one motion recognizer residing in the processing unit, wherein means to influence which moves are recognizable, which different ways there are to execute a given move, and how tolerant such recognition should be, is to add or subtract examples of motions to or from a training set used to create or update the motion recognizer, wherein the training set is created in advance by a trained user while performing motions according to a set of predefined moves, the processing unit receives the motion signals from the hand-held motion sensing device, and is configured to be responsive to the motion recognizer and compute a motion label for some or all of the motion signals, wherein the motion recognizer is further tunable by the some or all of the motion signals.

26. The system as recited in claim 25, wherein the motion recognizer is to be tuned by an untrained user, and the motion signals are sent from the hand-held motion sensing device as a result of the hand-held motion sensing device being manipulated by the untrained user.

27. The system as recited in claim 26, wherein the processing unit includes a module configured to calculate a classification distance of each of the motion signals to prototypes in the motion recognizer.

28. The system as recited in claim 27, wherein the module is further configured to perform operations of:

labeling the each of the motion signals as undetermined if the classification distance fails to match any of the prototypes; or

labeling the each of the motion signals as a labeled motion associated with one of the prototypes if the classification distance matches only one prototype; or

labeling the each of the motion signals as a labeled motion associated with one of some of the prototypes, if there is more than one of the prototypes within the classification distance.

29. The system as recited in claim 25, wherein the motion recognizer is tuned with the motion signals.

30. The system as recited in claim 29, wherein the motion recognizer is repeatedly tuned when recognition rates decline.

31. The system as recited in claim 26, wherein the processing unit is configured to label a motion signal upon receiving only a leading portion of the motion signal to minimize a latency in recognizing a motion pertaining to the motion signal.

32. The system as recited in claim 31, wherein the motion signal is labeled according to a majority vote among all possible prototypes.

33. A method for using motion recognizers, the method comprising:

loading a set of the motion recognizers that are created in advance by at least one trained user;

receiving a beginning portion of a motion signal from a hand held motion-sensitive device being manipulated by an end user in response to a virtual environment being displayed on a display screen; and

preprocessing the beginning portion of the motion signal; and

labeling with reference to the motion recognizer the motion signal without receiving the motion signal in its entirety to minimize latency in motion recognition of the motion signal, wherein the motion recognizer is tunable by the end user using the motion signal.

34. The method as recited in claim 33, further comprising:

forming a training set including the motion signal;

creating at least a new motion recognizer based on the training set; and

updating the set of the motion recognizers to include the new motion recognizer.

35. The method as recited in claim 33, further comprising:

calculating a classification distance of the motion signals to prototypes in the motion recognizers.

36. The method as recited in claim 35, wherein said labeling of the motion signal without receiving the motion signal in its entirety comprises operations of:

labeling the motion signal as undetermined if the classification distance fails to match any of the prototypes;

labeling the motion signal as a labeled motion associated with one of the prototypes if the classification distance matches only one prototype; or

labeling the motion signal as a labeled motion associated with one of some of the prototypes.

37. A system for using motion recognizers, the system comprising a processing unit loaded with at least one motion recognizer including a set of prototypes to which motion recognition is responsive;

at least one hand-held motion sensing controller sending raw motion signals to the processing unit by an end user in response to a virtual environment being displayed on a display screen;

an adaptive smoother, residing on the processing unit, configured to process the raw motion signals to create processed motion signals that are more robust to unimportant individual variations in motion performance;

and wherein the processing unit is configured to compute a quality measure responsive to a timewarp distance from each of the processed motion signals to prototypes in the motion recognizer to produce a label for the each of the processed motion signals, wherein the motion recognizer is created in advanced by a trained user, and wherein the motion recognizer is tuned with one or more of the raw motion signals.

38. The system as recited in claim 37, wherein the motion signals are sent from the hand-held motion sensing device as a result of the hand-held motion sensing device being manipulated by an untrained user.

39. system as recited in claim 38, wherein the motion recognizer is continuously tuned with subsequent raw motion signals till motion recognition with the tuned motion recognizers reaches a level satisfied by the untrained user.

40. The system as recited in claim 38, wherein the motion recognizer is repeatedly tuned when recognition rates decline.

41. The system as recited in claim 37, wherein the processing unit is configured to label a motion signal upon receiving only a leading portion of the motion signal to minimize a latency in recognizing a motion pertaining to the motion signal.

42. The system as recited in claim 41, wherein the motion signal is labeled according to a majority vote among all possible prototypes.

43. A system for using motion recognizers, the system comprising:

at least one hand-held motion sensing device generating motion signals when the hand-held motion sensing device is being manipulated by an end user in response to a virtual environment being displayed on a display screen; and

a processing unit, loaded with a set of motion recognizers and receiving the motion signals, configured to compute a motion label for each of the motion signals, wherein the processing unit is configured to modify at least one of the motion recognizers so as to update a current motion control scheme and

wherein the motion recognizers are created in advance by at least one trained user, the processing unit is further configured to include a tuning process allowing an untrained user to modify classification performance for one or more classes in each or all of the motion recognizers.

44. The system as recited in claim 43, wherein the motion signals are sent from the hand-held motion sensing device as a result of the hand-held motion sensing device being manipulated by an untrained user.

45. The system as recited in claim 44, wherein the processing unit is further configured to label a motion signal upon receiving only a leading portion of the motion signal to minimize a latency in recognizing a motion pertaining to the motion signal.

46. The system as recited in claim 45, wherein one or more of the motion recognizers are repeatedly tuned when recognition rates decline.

47. The system as recited in claim 44, wherein the processing unit includes a communication means to receive additional motion recognizers.

48. The system as recited in claim 47, wherein the additional motion recognizers are received in a portable storage medium, downloaded via a network; or embedded in a video game to be executed in the processing unit.

* * * * *